

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/603,212	KIM, DOH-SUK	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Donald L. Storm	2626	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Donald L. Storm, Examiner. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Aaron Mace, Applicant's Representative. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 12 July 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 21,34,35 and 39.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The discussion centered on how to include subject matter from previous versions of claims into proposed amendments to current versions of claims. As an example, consider how to remove the current limitation of "single-ended" such that the resulting, amended version of the claim had already been examined for a previous Office action. The discussion included how to promote subject matter of current dependent claims into proposed amendments to independent claims.